

EXERCISE PROFESSIONAL SCEPTICISM WHILE EVALUATING FRAUD AT COMPANIES: NFRA TELLS AUDITORS

In the complex world of finance and corporate governance, auditors play a pivotal role in safeguarding the interests of stakeholders and ensuring the integrity of financial statements. Their duty goes beyond mere number-crunching; it extends to critically evaluating the financial health and transparency of the companies they audit. One of the most challenging aspects of this responsibility is detecting and evaluating fraud. Fraud can manifest in various forms, from financial statement manipulation to embezzlement and corruption. Detecting these fraudulent activities demands not only technical expertise but also a mindset of professional scepticism. Recognizing the significance of this aspect, the National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA) has issued a clarion call to auditors to exercise professional scepticism diligently while evaluating fraud at companies. In this comprehensive article, we delve into the intricacies of this directive, exploring what professional scepticism entails, why it's crucial, and how auditors can embody it effectively.

The Foundation of Professional Scepticism

Professional scepticism is not a mere catchphrase but a cornerstone of auditing standards and practices globally. It forms the bedrock upon which auditors build their approach to assessing the financial statements of companies. At its core, professional scepticism is the attitude of questioning, being cautious, and not taking information at face value. It entails a mindset that is open to the possibility of misstatements or irregularities, no matter how well a company's financials may appear on the surface.

In the context of auditing, professional scepticism means approaching an audit with a critical mindset, actively looking for signs of fraud, manipulation, or error, and being willing to challenge management assertions when

necessary. It's about auditors maintaining an attitude of trust but verifying, rather than accepting information without scrutiny.

Why Professional Scepticism Matters

The need for professional scepticism arises from the inherent risks in financial reporting. Companies have incentives to present themselves in the best possible light to attract investors, secure loans, and maintain their market reputation. While the majority of companies adhere to ethical practices, a minority engage in fraudulent activities to achieve these objectives.

Here are some reasons why professional scepticism is indispensable for auditors:

- 1. Fraud is Sophisticated:** Fraudsters continually devise new methods to deceive auditors and stakeholders. Without a sceptical mindset, auditors may overlook these innovative schemes.
- 2. Financial Complexity:** Companies often use complex financial instruments and structures that can obscure fraudulent activities. Professional scepticism helps auditors unravel these complexities.
- 3. Inherent Biases:** Auditors, like everyone else, may possess cognitive biases that influence their judgment. Professional scepticism acts as a counterbalance to these biases, promoting objective analysis.
- 4. Public Trust:** Auditors are entrusted with safeguarding the interests of the investing public. Failing to detect fraud erodes this trust and can have far-reaching consequences for financial markets.

NFRA's Directive to Auditors

The NFRA's directive to auditors emphasizes the critical role of professional scepticism in the detection and evaluation of fraud. Auditors are reminded that their duty

goes beyond a routine review of financial statements. They are tasked with actively seeking evidence of fraud, misconduct, or mismanagement.

The directive outlines several key expectations from auditors:

- 1. Robust Risk Assessment:** Auditors should conduct a thorough risk assessment to identify areas where the risk of material misstatement due to fraud is high. This involves considering the company's industry, internal controls, and historical performance.
- 2. Critical Evaluation of Evidence:** Auditors should critically evaluate the evidence they obtain during the audit process. This includes not only financial data but also non-financial information and management explanations.
- 3. Challenging Assumptions:** Auditors are encouraged to challenge management's assumptions and representations, particularly when they appear optimistic or unrealistic. This includes questioning the rationale behind accounting choices and estimates.
- 4. Scrutiny of Related Parties:** Transactions with related parties are a common area for potential fraud. Auditors should scrutinize these transactions carefully and ensure they are conducted at arm's length.
- 5. Timely Reporting:** If auditors encounter evidence of fraud, they are required to report it promptly to the appropriate authorities, including the Audit Committee and the NFRA.

Practical Steps for Auditors

Embodying professional scepticism in auditing practice requires more than just a change in mindset; it involves adopting specific procedures and approaches. Here

are some practical steps auditors can take to exercise professional scepticism effectively:

- 1. In-Depth Understanding:** Gain a deep understanding of the audited company's industry, business model, and operations. This knowledge will help you identify unusual or suspicious transactions.
- 2. Evaluate Internal Controls:** Assess the effectiveness of the company's internal controls, particularly those related to financial reporting. Weak controls are often indicators of a higher fraud risk.
- 3. Data Analytics:** Use data analytics tools to analyze large volumes of financial data quickly. These tools can help identify anomalies and irregularities that may warrant further investigation.
- 4. Independent Verification:** Seek independent verification of key information, such as bank confirmations, contracts, and legal opinions. Relying solely on management-provided documentation may not be sufficient.
- 5. Fraud Awareness Training:** Continually update your knowledge of fraud schemes and tactics. Attend training sessions and stay informed about emerging fraud risks.
- 6. Collaborate:** Work closely with your audit team and engage in active discussions. Encourage team members to voice concerns and alternate viewpoints.
- 7. Documentation:** Maintain meticulous records of your audit procedures, including the rationale for decisions made. This documentation is crucial in case of disputes or investigations.
- 8. External Resources:** When in doubt, seek the expertise of forensic accountants, legal professionals, or fraud investigators. Their specialized knowledge can be invaluable in fraud detection.

Conclusion

The NFRA's directive to auditors serves as a timely reminder of the critical role they play in safeguarding financial markets and investor trust. Professional scepticism is not an option but a necessity in today's complex corporate landscape. Auditors must actively embrace this mindset, continuously honing their skills, and challenging assumptions to detect and evaluate fraud effectively.

Ultimately, auditors are the gatekeepers of financial integrity. Their commitment to professional scepticism ensures that companies are held accountable for their financial reporting, protecting the interests of stakeholders and preserving the credibility of financial markets. In a world where financial fraud continues to evolve, auditors must be unwavering in their dedication to this fundamental principle.

Balmukund Chaturvedi

Associate Director, Assurancens

ASA